

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,865	07/03/2003	Akiko Hisasue	239862US2	3846
22850	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BAHTA, KIDEST	
	40 DUKE STREET LEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2125	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,865	HISASUE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Kidest Bahta	2125	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand the ction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Apprix documents have been in the control of t	pplication No received in this National Stage	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/3/03</u>. 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold et al. (U.S. Patent 5,936,860).

Regarding claims 1-2, Arnold discloses a master table including an entry field of a regeneration control flag indicating whether or not each of materials to be used in a manufacturing apparatus is regeneratable and an entry field of a regeneration order control flag indicating whether a registered contractor is a seller or a regeneration contractor (Fig. 30-32), said master table storing information for controlling each of said materials (38); an order control section for controlling a purchase order for, and an order for a regenerating process on, regeneratable materials out of said materials, using said regeneration order control flag (Fig. 4, Fig. 5, Fig. 30 and Fig. 31); and a stock control section for controlling a stock of said materials, wherein said order control section and said stock control section are controlled in a centralized manner (Fig. 16); master table further includes an entry field of a serial number control flag for identifying each of said materials, said order control section controls said order for a regenerating process on said regeneratable materials based on per-serial-number basis, and said stock control section controls said materials on said per-serial-number basis (Fig. 7).

Art Unit: 2125

Regarding claims 3-7, Arnold discloses an entry field of a threshold value defining a constraint on a regenerating process on said regeneratable materials, said order control section controls such that no order for a regenerating process is made when a value contained in information about each of said regeneratable materials becomes equal to or exceeds said threshold value (column 10, lines 49-56; column 20, lines 36-67), said information being stored on said per-serial-number basis, and said stock control section urges each of said regeneratable materials to be disposed of when said value in said information about each of said regeneratable materials becomes equal to or exceeds said threshold value (column 12, lines 60-column 13, line 15) wherein said threshold value is a cumulative total number of regenerating process and sum of a time period of use (Fig. 33-34); a use display for showing information of materials which are being used out of said materials on said per-serial-number basis (element 34) and is capable of operating said order control section and said stock control section and a storage display for showing information of materials which are being stored out of said materials on said per-serial-number basis (Fig. 2) and is capable of operating said order control section and said stock control section wherein said use display and said storage display are switched therebetween (Fig. 3-9).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Kidest Bahta whose telephone number is 571-272-3737.

Art Unit: 2125

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-272-3800.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAG system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-fee).

Kidest Bahta

September 13, 2005